

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,326	06/20/2003	Amold Neracher	33246/US	6978
7590 10/28/2004			EXAMINER	
David E. Bruhn			MAUST, TIMOTHY LEWIS	
DORSEY & W	HITNEY LLP			
Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
50 South Sixth Street, Suite 1500			3751	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-1498			DATE MAII ED: 10/28/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/601,326	NERACHER, ARNOLD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy L Maust	3751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju	<u>ıne 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-4,7,9-16,19,20 and 22-24</u> is/are reje					
7) Claim(s) <u>5,6,8,17,18,21 and 25</u> is/are objected		ı			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2003</u> is/are: a	•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- , ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
TT) The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	daminer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P 10-132.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)	Λ □	(DTO 442)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/29/03</u>. 	4)				

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because in Figure 1b element 6 has no lead line. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claim 7 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 2, a word is missing after "compressible". Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3751

Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 5, apparently "ge" should be - - be - -. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "the liquid supply reservoir" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,326

Art Unit: 3751

Claims 1-4, 7, 9-16 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Haar et al.

In regard to claim 1, 10 and 11, the Haar et al. reference discloses an "injection device" (Fig. 4) comprising a "container" 46, a re-usable "pressure generating mechanism" (41 and 48) and a "primary source" 17 of potential energy, as claimed.

In regard to claims 2-4, the substance is an elastomeric silicone gel having greater compressibility than water.

In regard to claim 7, see piston 38 in Figs. 4 and 5.

In regard to claim 9, see "ampoule" 14.

In regard to claim 12, see "cap" 44.

In regard to claims 13 and 14, see "plug" 41

In regard to claim 15, see "flexible wall" 13.

In regard to claim 16, see "plug" 22.

In regard to claims 22 and 23, see "spring" 48.

In regard to claim 24, see col. 4, lines 65 – col. 5 lines 42.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 6, 8, 17, 18, 21 and 25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 19 and 20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 3751

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Menne et al. reference pertains to an injection device having a magnetic drive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy L Maust whose telephone number is (703) 308-3390. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue. - Fri. 6:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Huson can be reached on (703) 308-2580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Timothy L Maust Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

Tlm 10/27/04